

TOP SECRET

29 February 1960  
DM-

DEPUTIES' MEETING

Present: Mr. Dulles, General Cabell (part of meeting), Mr. Bissell,  
Mr. Amory, Colonel White, Mr. Kirkpatrick, Mr. Helms,  
Mr. Sheldon, Mr. Kent, Mr. Barnes, [redacted]

25X1

MR. DULLES:

- a. Referred to the deteriorating situation in Laos and asked Sherman Kent for a brief memorandum on this subject which he (DCI) can take up at an early OCB luncheon.
- b. Noted his intention of discussing the situation in Viet Nam at an OCB luncheon meeting, and asked Mr. Helms to include this subject in the quarterly briefing for the Joint Chiefs of Staff now scheduled for 2 March.
- c. Stated he considered that the whole of Southeast Asia is a powder keg which can blow with little or no warning, and commented he intended to brief on this matter at the NSC meeting following the President's return (10 March).



25X1

MR. AMORY:

Suggested that a central point of contact be established in the Agency for all disarmament matters, and recommended [redacted]. The Director approved.

25X1

TOP SECRET


- 2 -

COLONEL WHITE:

Noted that Mr. Macy of the Bureau of the Budget is pushing for a discussion on "the philosophy of the reserve" and suggested that the Director might wish to do this at a luncheon together with General Cabell, Messrs. Bissell, and Amory, in addition to himself. The Director commented that he felt it would be more appropriate if Mr. Stans could also be present. After some discussion, it was agreed that the meeting with Mr. Macy would be held at a lower level without the presence of the Director and the Deputy Director. Based on the outcome of this meeting, consideration would be given to bringing this subject up at a meeting of the Special Group with Mr. Stans present.

MR. KIRKPATRICK:

Noted that a policy question had arisen over whether or not a CIA employee could receive money from a book written which includes a chapter on intelligence, the thought being that this particular information was by and large gained through access to classified information. After some discussion, it was agreed that where a publication is predominantly on other subjects and merely touches on intelligence, there would be no objection to the author being paid for his work.



25X1